IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.322 OF 2006.
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.325 OF 1992

Chhabubai w/o Balkrishna Sutar
& Anr. ..Appellant

versus

Panchan Ladha Savala & Ors. ..Respondents

and

Sunil Gajanan Kulkarni ..Applicant

Mr.Atul Rajyadhakshya for Mr. Nitin Gangal for the Applicant.

Coram : S.R.Sathe, J.
Dated : 5th April, 2006

P.C.

1. Heard Sr. Counsel Shri Rajdhakshya as well as Shri Gangal. Both of them submitted that the original Appellants as well as the Respondents in this matter have been served with copy of present application. In fact, the appellants had also sought time to file say. However, till today nobody has filed say and opposed the application. Today also the Respondents and their Advocates are absent when called.

2. It is the case of the intervenor that the

suit property is in their possession and the order that will be passed in the Second Appeal will have bearing on their rights, so in order to avoid multiplicity of proceedings and to decide the matter justly and correctly the present intervenors are allowed to be impleaded as party respondents. Accordingly, necessary amendment be carried out by the appellants within two weeks. The learned Advocate for the intervenor submitted that the Second Appeal is more than 14 years old and as such the matter be fixed early. Hence, S.O. to 25.4.2006 for hearing

(S.R.Sathe, J.)